1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP G. Daniel Newland (SBN 87965) Email: dnewland@seyfarth.com Laura J. Maechtlen (SBN 224923) E-mail: lmaechtlen@seyfarth.com Chantelle C. Egan (SBN 257938) E-mail: cegan@seyfarth.com Megha J. Charalambides (SBN 310892) Email: mcharalambides @seyfarth.com 560 Mission Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94105-2930 Telephone: (415) 397-2823 Facsimile: (415) 397-8549  Attorneys for Defendants XPO CNW, INC. and XPO LOGISTICS, INC.	
10		
11	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
13		
14	CHRISTOPHER R. O'BRIEN, AS TRUSTEE OF THE RAYMOND F. O'BRIEN	Case No. 4:16-cv-03869-JSW
15	REVOCABLE TRUST,	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED VERDICT FORM
16	Plaintiff,	Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White
17	v.	Trial Date: February 3, 2020
18 19	XPO CNW, INC., a Delaware corporation, XPO LOGISTICS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	Amended Complaint Filed: June 5, 2017
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM/CASE NO. 3:16-CV-03869 JSW

## Case 4:16-cv-03869-JSW Document 209 Filed 12/30/19 Page 2 of 11

1	Pursuant to the Court's Standing Order (Gui	delines for Trial and Final Pretrial Conference in
2	Civil Jury Cases), Defendants XPO Logistics, Inc. (	Thereinafter, "XPO Logistics") and XPO CNW,
3	Inc. (hereinafter, "XPO CNW") (collectively, "Defe	endants"), hereby submit their Proposed Special
4	Verdict Form, attached hereto as Exhibit A.	
5	In submitting the attached Proposed Special	Verdict Form, Defendants reserve the right to
6	make changes and to supplement this Special Verdi	ct Form, based upon developments at trial, any
7	errors and omissions in the parties' submissions, an	d any jury instructions or special verdict form
8	proposed by the Plaintiff or the Court.	
9	D. A. TIETO D	
10	DATED: December 30, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
11		SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
12		
13		By: /s/ Chantelle C. Egan G. Daniel Newland
14		Laura J. Maechtlen Chantelle C. Egan
15		Megha J. Charalambides
16		Attorneys for Defendant XPO CNW, INC. and XPO LOGISTICS, INC.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	

## **EXHIBIT A**

1	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
2	We, the Jury, in the above-entitled action and upon our oath, make the following findings:
3	PRIVILEGE TO PROTECT OWN FINANCIAL INTEREST
4	[CACI 2210]
5	1. Do you find that Defendant XPO Logistics is the parent company of XPO CNW?
6	Yes No
7	Proceed to Question #2.
8	2. Do you find that XPO Logistics has a financial interest in the business of XPO CNW?
9	
10	YesNo
11	Proceed to Question #3.
12	3. Do you find that XPO Logistics acted reasonably and in good faith to protect its financial
13	interest?
14	Yes No
15	
16 17	Proceed to Question #4.
18	4. Do you find that XPO Logistics used appropriate means to protect its financial interest?
19	Yes No
20	
21	If 4. O 4. O 42 42 444 11 (5V ) 1 1 1 0 4 414
22	If your answers to Questions #2, #3, and #4 are all "Yes," you should skip to Question #14. If
23	your answer to any of these three questions (Questions #2, #3, and #4) is "No," please go to the next question.
24	INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT
25	(XPO LOGISTICS, INC.)
26	[CACI VF-2201]
27	5. Do you find that Gordon Devens, acting on behalf of XPO CNW, caused XPO CNW to
28	discontinue payments to Raymond O'Brien through O'Brien Interests Inc.?
	3

DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM/CASE NO. 3:16-CV-03869 JSW

1		
	Yes No	
2		
3	If your angular to Overtion #5 is "Yes " you should also to Overtion #14. If your angular is	
4	If your answer to Question #5 is "Yes," you should skip to Question #14. If your answer is	
5	"No," please go to the next question.	
6 7	6. Do you find that Gordon Devens, on behalf of XPO Logistics, knew a valid contract existed between XPO CNW and Raymond O'Brien?	
8	between the service and reasonal service.	
9	Yes No	
10		
11	If your answer to Question #6 is "No," you should skip to Question #14. If you answered	
12	"Yes," please go to the next question.	
13		
14	7. Do you find that XPO Logistics' employee Gordon Devens instructed XPO CNW to discontinue payments to Raymond O'Brien through O'Brien Interests Inc.?	
15	Yes No	
16	105	
17	If your answer to Question #7 is "No," you should skip to Question #14. If your answer is	
18		
19	"Yes," please go to the next question.	
20		
21	8. Do you find that XPO Logistics' conduct prevented XPO CNW's performance under the contract between XPO CNW and Raymond O'Brien or made such performance more	
22	difficult?	
23	V.a. Na	
24	Yes No	
25		
26	If your answer to Question #8 is "No," and you should skip to Question #14. If you answered	
27	"Yes," please go to the next question.	
28		
	4	
	DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM/CASE NO. 3:16-CV-03869 JSW	

1 2	9. Do you find that XPO Logistics either intended to disrupt XPO CNW's performance under its contract with Raymond O'Brien or that XPO Logistics knew that disruption of performance was certain or substantially certain to occur?
3	was certain of substantiarry certain to occur:
4	Yes No
5	
6	If your answer to Question #9 is "No," you should skip to Question #14. If you answered
7	"Yes," please go to the next question.
8	10. Do you find that XPO Logistics' conduct was a substantial factor in causing financial harm to Raymond O'Brien?
10 11	YesNo
12	If your answer to Question #10 is "No," you should skip to Question #14. If you answered
13	"Yes," you have found that XPO Logistics is liable for Intentional Interference with Contract, and
14	please go to the next question.
15	FINANCIAL ELDER ABUSE
16	(XPO LOGISTICS, INC.)
17	[CACI VF-3100]
18 19	11. Was Raymond O'Brien 65 years of age or older at the time of the conduct?
20	Yes No
21	
22	If your answer to Question #11 is "No," you should skip to Question #14. If you answered
23	"Yes," please go to the next question.
24	
25	12. Do you find that XPO Logistics knew or should have known by instructing XPO CNW to discontinue the \$6,000 payment to O'Brien Interests Inc. that Raymond O'Brien would have
26	been harmed?
27	Yes No
28	_
	5
	DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM/CASE NO. 3:16-CV-03869 JSW

1	
2	
3	If your answer to Question #12 is "No," you should skip to Question #14. If you answered
4	"Yes," please go to the next question.
5	
6	13. Do you find that Gordon Devens's conduct, on behalf of XPO Logistics, in instructing XPO CNW to stop the monthly \$6,000 payments to O'Brien Interests Inc., was a substantial factor
7	causing harm to Raymond O'Brien?
8	Yes No
9	
10	If your answer to Question #13 is "No," you should skip to Question #14. If you answered
11	"Yes," you have found that XPO Logistics is liable for Financial Elder Abuse, and please go to the
12	next question.
13	FINANCIAL ELDER ABUSE
14	(XPO CNW, INC.)
15	[CACI VF-3100]
16	
17	14. Was Raymond O'Brien 65 years of age or older at the time of the conduct?
18	Yes No
19	
20	If your answer to Question #14 is "No," you should skip to Question #18. If you answered
21	"Yes," please go to the next question.
22	
23	15. Do you find that Gordon Devens, as an employee of XPO CNW, knew or should have known that stopping payments to O'Brien Interests Inc. would breach XPO CNW's contract with
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Raymond O'Brien?
	Yes No
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	
28	
40	6
	DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM/CASE NO. 3:16-CV-03869 JSW

## Case 4:16-cv-03869-JSW Document 209 Filed 12/30/19 Page 8 of 11

If your answer to Question #15 is "No," you should skip to Question #18. If you answered
"Yes," please go to the next question.
16. Do you find that in stopping payments from XPO CNW to O'Brien Interests Inc., Gordon Devens's acted wrongfully?
Voc. No.
Yes No
If your answer to Question #16 is "No" you should skip to Question #19. If you answered
If your answer to Question #16 is "No," you should skip to Question #18. If you answered
"Yes," please go to the next question.
17. Do you find that Gordon Devens's conduct in stopping monthly \$6,000 payments to O'Brien Interests Inc. on behalf of XPO CNW, was a substantial factor causing harm to Raymond
O'Brien?
Yes No
If your answer to Question #17 is "No," please go to the next question. If you answered
"Yes," you have found that XPO CNW is liable for Financial Elder Abuse, and please go to the next
question.
<u>DAMAGES</u>
Intentional Interference With Contract (VDO Logistics Inc.)
Intentional Interference With Contract (XPO Logistics, Inc.)
18. Have you found that XPO Logistics is liable for Intentional Interference With Contract?
Yes No
If your answer to Question #18 is "No," you should skip to Question #26. If you
answered "Yes," please go to the next question.
answered res, prease go to the next question.
_
DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM/CASE NO. 3:16-CV-03869 JSW

## Case 4:16-cv-03869-JSW Document 209 Filed 12/30/19 Page 9 of 11

	1	
1 2	19.	You have found XPO Logistics liable for Intentional Interference With Contract. What are Raymond O'Brien's damages?
3		a. Past economic loss for non-performance of the contract: \$ [ ]
4	20.	Did Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that Gordon Devens was an officer, director, or managing agent of XPO Logistics when he interfered with the contract?
5		
6		Yes No
7		
8		If your answer to Question #20 is "No," you should skip to Question #22. If you answered
9	"Yes,"	'please go to the next question.
10 11	21.	You have found XPO Logistics liable for damages resulting from Raymond O'Brien's pain and suffering caused by XPO Logistics' intentional interference with contract.
12 13		a. What are Raymond O'Brien's damages for past non-economic loss for pain and suffering arising solely from XPO Logistics' harm incurred before death:
14		\$ [ ]
15		Total: \$ [ ]
	Flder	Abuse (XPO Logistics, Inc.)
16		
17	22.	Have you found that XPO Logistics is liable for Financial Elder Abuse?
18		Yes No
19		
20		
21		If your answer to Question #22 is "No," you should skip to Question #26. If you
22	answe	red "Yes," please go to the next question.
23 24	23.	You have found XPO Logistics liable for Financial Elder Abuse. What are Raymond O'Brien's Damages?
25		a. Past economic loss for non-performance of the contract: \$ [ ]
26	24	•
27	24.	Did Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that Gordon Devens was an officer, director, or managing agent of XPO Logistics when he interfered with the contract?
28		
		8

DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM/CASE NO. 3:16-CV-03869 JSW

1	Yes No
2	
3 4	If your answer to Question #24 is "No," you should skip to Question #26. If you answered
5	"Yes," please go to the next question.
6	25. Did Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that Raymond O'Brien suffered from
7	emotional distress and pain and suffering solely from XPO Logistics' conduct of interfering with the contract between XPO CNW and Raymond O'Brien?
8	Yes No
9	1es No
10	
11	If your answer to Question #25 is "No," you should skip to Question #26. If you answered
12	"Yes," please go to the next question.
13	a. You have found XPO Logistics liable for pain and suffering. What are Raymond
14	O'Brien's damages for past non-economic loss for pain and suffering arising solely from XPO Logistics' harm incurred before death:  \$ [ ]
15	Total: \$ [ ]
16	10tal. \$[]
17	Elden Alessa (VDO CNW, Isra)
18	Elder Abuse (XPO CNW, Inc.)
19	26. Have you found that XPO CNW is liable for Financial Elder Abuse?
20	YesNo
21	
22	If your answer to Question #26 is "No," please have the presiding juror sign and date this
23	Special Verdict Form and inform the courtroom attendant that the jury has reached its verdict. If you
24	answered "Yes," please go to the next question.
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	
27	27. You have found XPO CNW liable for Financial Elder Abuse. What are Raymond O'Brien's Damages?
28	a. Past economic loss for non-performance of the contract: \$ [ ]
	DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM/CASE NO. 3:16-CV-03869 JSW

1 2	28. Did Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that Gordon Devens was an officer, director, or managing agent of XPO CNW when discontinuing the payments to O'Brien Interests Inc.?	
3	interests inc.:	
4	Yes No	
5		
6	If your answer to Question #28 is "No," please have the presiding juror sign and date this	
7	Special Verdict Form and inform the courtroom attendant that the jury has reached its verdict. If you	
8	answered "Yes," please go to the next question.	
9	29. Did Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that Raymond O'Brien suffered from emotional distress and pain and suffering solely from XPO CNW's conduct of discontinuing	
10	its payments to O'Brien Interests Inc.?	
11	Yes No	
12		
13	If your answer to Question #29 is "No," please have the presiding juror sign and date this	
14	Special Verdict Form and inform the courtroom attendant that the jury has reached its verdict. If you	
15	answered "Yes," please go to the next question.	
16		
17 18	a. You have found XPO CNW liable for pain and suffering. What are Raymond O'Brien's damages for past non-economic loss for pain and suffering arising solely	
19	from XPO CNW's harm incurred before death: \$ [ ]	
20	Total: \$ [ ]	
21	Dated: Signed: Presiding Juror	
22		
23	After this verdict form has been signed, this verdict form must be delivered to the clerk.	
24	After this vertice form has been signed, this vertice form must be derivered to the cierk.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	10	